ppm relative to the CH₃ group of 1D + 1H (CDCl₃, 300 ¹H NMR). Subsequently the ee of 1H was calculated after comparison of the rotation $1D + 1H (+18.7^{\circ})$ with a stoichiometrically identical mixture of 1D wih racemic 1H (+13.1°). The ee of 1H was established to be 17% in favor of the same (+)-isomer (an average of three runs, accuracy 5%). This value was in agreement with the ee of 1H determined by integration of the enantiomeric methine proton in 1H using quinine as a shift reagent.³

We define the effect of a product ligand acting on the stereochemical course of a reaction as the principle of enantioselective autoinduction. The formation of mixed aggregates containing both product and starting material fragments influences the stereochemistry of subsequent C-C bond formation.^{4,5} A vast majority of asymmetric synthetic reactions proceeding via addition of organometallic reagents to carbonyl compounds, the observation of an autoinductive effect, is pertinent to all these examples.^{1,6}

We successfully demonstrated the effect to be operative in a catalytic manner. Recently it was shown that orthotitanates catalyze the widely studied⁷ addition of diethylzinc to benzaldehyde.⁸⁹ We prepared the titanate from 1D and TiCl₄ in ether with triethylamine. One mmol of this compound, a colorless oil, was added to 16 mmol of diethylzinc in toluene (1.1 M solution) at room temperature. Benzaldehyde, 12 mmol, was added, and the homogeneous mixture was left overnight. After hydrolytic workup a 72% yield of 1D and 1H was obtained with a ratio of 1D vs 1H of 100:512. The ee of 1H was determined by comparison of the found rotation $(+15.5^{\circ})$ with that of a stoichiometrically identical sample of 1D and racemic $1H (+4.0^{\circ})$. The optically active alcohol was replicated with an ee of 32% in favor of the same enantiomer completely without the assistance of chiral auxiliaries.

Further research is directed toward the realization of more efficient examples as well as to a detailed study of the interplay of enantioselective autoinduction and auxiliary induction with chiral ligands in asymmetric synthesis.

(5) Seebach was the first to recognize the potential role of mixed aggre-gates: Seebach, D.; Amstutz, R.; Dunitz, J. D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 2622, in a paper with a philosophical character. See, also: Jackman, L. M.; Lange, B. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4789.

) Adverse enantioselective autoinduction takes place in the reaction of a lithium-magnesium reagent with benzaldehyde: addition of a second equivalent of the carbonyl compound is detrimental to the optical yield (Noyori, R.; Suga, S.; Kawai, K.; Okada, S.; Kitamura, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 1988, 60, 1597). Adverse autoinduction overrules auxiliary induction in the second stage of the quinine-catalyzed bishydroxylation of olefins: the second equivalent is produced with a 7% excess of the "wrong" enantiomer (Sharpless, K. B.; Marko, I.; Svendsen, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 737). Capricious results in asymmetric condensations with enolates and metal alkyls with the first, second, third, and fourth equivalent of the carbonyl compound were obtained earlier: Mukayama, T.; Soai, K.; Sato, T.; Shimizu, H.; Suzuki, K.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1455. Seebach, D.; Crass, G.; Wilka, E.-M.; Hilvert, D.; Brunner, E. Helv. Chim. Acta 1979, 62, 2695. Mazaleyerat, J P.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 789. By using the same method with an optically active deuterated hydroxyester, we have studied enantioselective autoinduction in the aldol condensation of the Li enolate of ethyl acetate and benzaldehyde indepth. Small counterproductive effects were observed. However, precipitation of a tetrameric diastereomeric Li-O complex is a crucial factor in the stereochemistry of this process and probably in several of the reactions listed in Table IX in ref 1 Alberts, A. H.; Wynberg, H. to be published). In the perspective of nonlinear effects in asymmetric induction (ref 7), assuming precipitation-inactivation of aggregated complexes with internal mirror planes, we note that in enantioselective autoinduction (as in auxiliary induction processes with partially resolved chiral ligands) the optical purity of the formed product is not necessarily limited by the optical purity of the previously added product. (7) Kitamura, M.; Okada, S.; Suga, S.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

1989, 111, 4028 and references cited here.

Reaction in the Bicontinuous Phase of a Nonaqueous Microemulsion: Amidation of the Olefin $C_8F_{17}CH = CH_2$ by γ Radiolysis

I. Rico* and A. Lattes

Laboratoire des IMRCP, UA CNRS No. 470 Université Paul Sabatier, 118, route de Narbonne 31062 Toulouse Cédex, France

K. P. Das and B. Lindman

Department of Physical Chemistry 1, Chemical Center University of Lund, Lund, S-22100, Sweden Received November 30, 1988 Revised Manuscript Received June 22, 1989

A microemulsion is generally defined as a transparent medium made up of water, oil (saturated or unsaturated hydrocarbon), a surfactant, and a cosurfactant (a short chain amphiphile such as an alcohol or an amine).¹ Depending on the proportions of the constituents, three main types of structure can be distinguished:^{1,2} reverse micelles (W/O), direct micelles (O/W), or bicontinuous structures (Figure 1). In general, reactions carried out in microemulsions take place either in the direct micellar zone or more commonly in the reverse micellar zone.³ There are few reports of reactions taking place in the bicontinuous phase.⁴

We have demonstrated for the first time the use of microemulsions based on formamide instead of water to produce media for carrying out chemical reactions.⁵ Formamide was employed in view of the low solubility of many organic compounds in water. Moreover, formamide can be used as a reactant, with the preparation of microemulsions in which the two main components (oil and formamide) are reactants.

We describe here the amidation of the olefin $C_8F_{17}CH=CH_2$ by γ radiolysis in a formamide (F) microemulsion containing 1,1,2-trihydroperfluoro-1-decene ($C_8F_{17}CH=CH_2$) as oil (**O**), potassium 2,2,3,3-tetrahydroperfluoroundecanoate (C₈F₁₇C₂H₄- CO_2K) as surfactant (S), and 1,1,2,2-tetrahydroperfluorohexanol $(C_4F_9C_2H_4OH)$ as cosurfactant (CoS). The phase diagram of this system reported in a previous publication⁶ was explored after γ radiolysis. Study of self-diffusion by ¹H NMR⁷ enabled the structures of the various microemulsions to be determined. The results are shown in Figure 2 and Table I.

Self-Diffusion Measurements. The self-diffusion coefficients (cf. Table I) defined three microemulsion zones similar to those of the corresponding aqueous systems: (a) Microemulsions 1 and 2 have an O/F structure similar to that of direct micelles (O/W); the olefin in droplets has a much lower self-diffusion coefficient than that of the pure liquid, while formamide, making up the continuous phase, diffuses readily. (b) In contrast, microemulsions 4 and 5 have a F/O structure similar to reverse micelles (W/O); the formamide in droplets has a low self-diffusion coefficient unlike that of the olefin. (c) In microemulsion 3, the coefficients of self-diffusion of formamide and oil are similar, indicating the presence of a bicontinuous phase, not organized in micelles. This type of structure, well-known in aqueous media,6 is described here for the first time in nonaqueous microemulsions.

These results show that by judicious choice of surfactant and cosurfactant, it is possible to find systems in formamide micro-

⁽³⁾ The double doublet is split into eight lines (1:1 1D/1H:quinine, CDCl₃, (1) The double double is spit into eight into eight into (1.1 10) Tridumine, CDC13,
(2) °C, 300 MHz ¹H NMR) (+)-isomer at 5.134, 5.120, 5.144, 5.088 and the (-)-isomer 5.124, 5.110, 5.096, 5.082). Rosini, C.; Ucello-Barretta, G.; Pini, D.; Abete, C.; Salvadori, P. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4579.
(4) The methyl ether of 1D does not induce an ee of 1H.

⁽⁸⁾ Yoshioka, M.; Kawakita, T.; Ohno, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1657

⁽⁹⁾ The zinc alkoxide, prepared in situ by addition of 0.1 equiv of (+)-1D to 10 mmol of diethylzinc, catalyzed this reaction less effectively (3 days, 20 °C, 54% yield) and with an enantioselectivity in favor of the (+)-isomer slightly above experimental error, confirming the results of Oguni, N.; Omi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2823.

^{(1) (}a) Daniellson, I.; Lindman, B. Colloids and Surfaces 1981, 3, 381. (b) Langevin, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21 (71), 255.

⁽²⁾ Lindman, B.; Stilbs, P. Microemulsions; Friberg, S., Bothorel, P., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1987; p 119.

⁽³⁾ Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J. Catalysis in micellar and macromolecular systems; Academic Press Inc.: London, 1975.
(4) Candau, F.; Zekhnini, I.; Durand, J. P. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1986,

^{114. 398.}

⁽⁵⁾ Rico, I.; Lattes, A. Microemulsions Systems; Rosano, H., Clausse, M., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1987; Vol. 23, p 341 and cited references.

⁽⁶⁾ Rico, I.; Lattes, A. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1984, 102, 1984 (7) (a) Stilbs, P. Prog. NMR Spectrosc. 1987, 19, 1. (b) Das, K. P.; Cegie, A.; Lindman, B. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 2938.



Figure 1. Structures of the microemulsions (O = oil, W = water, S = surfactant, CoS = cosurfactant).



Figure 2. γ radiolysis at 25 °C of microemulsion system (HCONH₂, C₈F₁₇-CH=CH₂, C₄F₉C₂H₄OH/C₈F₁₇C₂H₄CO₂K = 2).

Table I. Self-Diffusion Coefficients of HCONH₂ (D1) and $C_8F_{17}CH=CH_2$ (D2) in the Microemulsions at 25 °C^a

	microemulsions (% wt)						
no.	F	0	S	CoS	D 1	D2	
1	87	7	2	4	3.62	0.54	
2	78	10	4	8	3.37	0.60	
3	44	44	4	8	0.85	1.21	
4	12	61	9	18	0.60	2.89	
5	10	66	8	16	0.60	2.98	
pure HCONH ₂ pure C ₈ F ₁₇ CH=CH ₂					5.21		
						5.32	
$a \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C}$	ONIT	$\alpha = \alpha$		CUL C	OFOI	100 1/ /	<u>,</u>

^a **F** = HCONH₂, **O** = C₈F₁₇CH=CH₂, **S** = C₈F₁₇C₂H₄CO₂K, CoS = C₄F₉C₂H₄OH. Unit for $D = 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \pm 0.08 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$.

emulsions analogous to aqueous systems.

Amidation of $C_8F_{17}CH=CH_2$ by γ Radiolysis. The following results were obtained (cf. Figure 2). (i) In microemulsions 1, 2, 4, and 5, the olefin does not react, and only the oxamide CON-H₂-CONH₂ is obtained in low yield ($\approx 5\%$ with respect to the starting formamide).⁸ (ii) The amidation reaction only takes place in microemulsion 3. The terminal amide $C_8F_{17}CH_2CH_2CONH_2$ is isolated in a 40% yield with respect to the starting olefin which is the limiting reactant.⁸ The oxamide CONH₂-CONH₂ is also obtained in low yield ($\approx 5\%$ with respect to the starting formamide).

These results can be interpreted in terms of the general mechanism of an amidation reaction.⁹

Initiation

$$HCONH_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot H + \cdot CONH_2$$

Propagation

$$C_8F_{17}CH = CH_2 + \cdot CONH_2 \rightarrow C_8F_{17}CH \cdot CH_2CONH_2$$
$$C_8F_{17}CH \cdot CH_2CONH_2 + HCONH_2 \rightarrow$$

C₈F₁₇CH₂CH₂CONH₂ + •CONH₂

Termination

$$2 \text{-} \text{CONH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CONH}_2 \text{-} \text{CONH}_2$$

In the reverse microemulsions 4 and 5, formamide is confined to the droplets, as demonstrated by the self-diffusion measurements, the carbamoyl radicals diffuse little being restrained in a micellar cage, and only the oxamide is formed.

On the other hand, in the direct microemulsions 1 and 2, the olefin is confined in the micelles. The self-diffusion measurements show that the olefin in fact diffuses little into the medium, and so does not interact greatly with the carbamoyl radicals.

However, in microemulsion 3 with a bicontinuous structure, the constituents (also reactants) diffuse together (Table I), and suitable contact between formamide and the olefin can take place hence enabling reaction.

These results show the potential of the relatively orderless bicontinuous phase as a medium for chemical reactions. These structures, which favor simultaneous diffusion of reactants, enable reactions to be carried out that would be impossible in a strictly micellar medium. These results also confirm the similarity between formamide and aqueous microemulsions, with a first demonstration of a bicontinuous structure in a nonaqueous medium.

Acknowledgment. We thank the U.S. Army for financial support and Atochem who kindly supplied the fluorinated compounds.

(9) Rokach, J.; Krauch, C. H.; Elad, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 28, 3953.

INEPT in a Chemical Way. Polarization Transfer from Parahydrogen to ³¹P by Oxidative Addition and Dipolar Relaxation

Thomas C. Eisenschmid, Joanne McDonald, and Richard Eisenberg*

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627

Ronald G. Lawler

Department of Chemistry, Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912 Received April 12, 1989

Parahydrogen induced polarization (PHIP) leading to enhanced ¹H NMR absorptions and emissions arises in hydrogenation and hydrogen addition reactions when H_2 enriched in the para state is added pairwise to substrate.^{1,2} If this addition occurs fast relative to proton relaxation, then the transferred protons reflect initially the nuclear spin populations of the starting dihydrogen and yield polarized transitions for the product resonances. In this paper we report that the inverted ¹H nuclear spin populations

⁽⁸⁾ The fluorinated derivatives (99% pure) were a generous gift of Atochem. Formamide (Aldrich 99% pure) was kept on a molecular sieve and contained less than 1% water (Karl-Fisher). The following reaction conditions were employed: 50 mL of degassed microemulsion were irradiated for 70 h at 9.1.10⁵ cm⁻³-rad-h⁻¹ in a Gammacell 220 apparatus containing a ⁶⁰Co source emitting γ radiation at 1.33 and 1.17 MeV. The reaction was followed by GLC (SE30 column with 10% silicone on Chromasorb PAW 80/100 mesh). The amide C₈F₁₇C₂H₄CONH₂ and the oxamide were the only products. The oxamide, insoluble in the microemulsion, was filtered off at the end of the irradiation. The amide C₈F₁₇C₂H₄CONH₂ was recovered as a solid after precipitation in the microemulsion diluted in a 5-fold volume of water. The products were identified by ¹H (and ¹⁹F) NMR and elemental analysis.

 ^{(1) (}a) Bowers, C. R.; Weitekamp, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5541.
 (b) Bowers, C. R.; Weitekamp, D. P. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1986, 57, 2645.
 (c) Pravica, M. G.; Weitekamp, D. P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1988, 145, 255.
 (2) (a) Eisenschmid, T. C.; Kirss, R. U.; Deutsch, P. P.; Hommeltoft, S. I.; Eisenberg, R.; Bargon, J.; Lawler, R. G.; Balch, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

 ^{(2) (}a) Eisenschmid, I. C.; Kirss, K. U.; Deutscn, P. P.; Hommettort, S. I.; Eisenberg, R.; Bargon, J.; Lawler, R. G.; Balch, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 8089.
 (b) Kirss, R. U.; Eisenberg, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 359, C22-C26.
 (c) Kirss, R. U.; Eisenschmid, T. C.; Eisenberg, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8564.